Publication: MERCURY (First Edition)

Date: 2015-08-17

Page: 8

What stops academics from sharing?

The answer: One of the world's biggest publishers. But publicly funded research should be allowed to be distributed worldwide with a click of the mouse, writes **Denise R Nicholson**. After all, the public paid for it in the first place

CCESS to information is a basic human right entrenched in the constitution. Net there are so many barriers restricting or preventing access to information. Daily, researchers, educators, illurarians, students, schoolchildren and citizens in general encounter problems accessing information.

problems accessing information, whether it be printed or online ma-

whether it do primed or online ma-terial.

A large section of the population lacks access to basic amenities such as water, electricity and food, let alone access to reading material, libraries, bookstores and information. Not everyone has access to the internet and digital resources and they depend on printed material (often photocopied) for their information needs.

they depend on printed material (often photocopied) for their information needs.

Even those who are privileged to have access to the internet or other reading material experience access problems because of restrictive copyright laws, excessively priced books and journals, prohibitive licences, or digital rights management systems with technological protection measures that restrict or lock up information.

In addition, and the strict of lock up information.

Bouth Africa is a developing country in transformation, with a world renowned Bill of Rights and substances and the strict of the strict of



Open Access wants peer-reviewed research available without restrictions online.

more importantly, they open the door to research and other knowledge that has been closed to developing countries, including South Africa, in the past.

The excessive prices of books and journals published by multinational publishing houses are not accessible to most South Africans, unless they are privileged to belong to a library that can afford such subscriptions. Institutional repositories are free and open, providing full-text

articles, book chapters and other re-search outputs of institutions, withartices, book enapters and other research outputs of institutions, without the users having to pay for access. In many ways, if it weren't for
Open Access material, health workers, researchers, educators and librarians would not be able to find
up to-date relevant information.

In South African rural areas,
many doctors do not have access to
the internet, let alone subscription
journals, and so depend on assistance from fellow health workers

who have access to libraries.
Without this, they often depend
on outdated information, which is
detrimental to the medical profession and in particular to their
patients who are then being treated
based on outdated information. Open Access
without access to Open Access
control of the control of the control of the control
in the control of the control of the control
in the control of the control of the control
in the control of the control of the control
in the contro

PICTURE: REUTERS

Just as the young US student, Jack Andraka, in recent years researched and discovered a new cancer test by accessing Open Access material on Google, so South African students and researchers will only be able to contribute and add new knowledge to their fields if they have access to the best international and local up-to-date journals, books and other research.

South Africa is doing some amazing research, but if it is not permit-

ted to be shared on the global stage because of restrictive copyright laws or unreasonable policies and embargo periods set by publishers, others cannot benefit from the research. Neither can South African authors become known and cited if their works are locked up behind expensive paywalls, from which only a cacess to those journals can benefit. More than £30 enlightened publishers around the world now facilitate access to knowledge by allowing institutions to deposit their final PDF published articles on Open Access institutional repositories. They realise the benefit of sharing knowledge but, by doing so, their publications will receive more exposure, more readership, and their australiance which all goes to boost readership and their australiance, which all goes to boost readership and impact factors for their publications.

Impede

Impede
They have seen that Open Access complements their conventional publishing practices and does not impede it in any way.
Unfortunately, there are other publishers, one being Elsevier, that believe that locking up knowledge somehow "benefits" academia, research and knowledge production.
Elsevier's new "sharing" policy attempts to place unreasonable embargoes on material so that they can't be made available on institutional repositories for a long period of them. This means that institue of them to be a search outputs accessible on Open Access, until such time as Elsevier or other publishers decide they can. Somehow the whole balance of ownership and production has become skewed. Academic institutions pay researchers to write articles and books to make their research public, they provide free editorial services in most cases for journals and then publishers get authors to sign over all their copyright to them so that they can control the control the control the south of the publishers get authors to sign over all their copyright to them so that they can control the control the control the south of the publisher wards.

This is a bizarre practice if ever there was one.

Authors have a whole bundle of rights granted to them by copyright law, yet publishers do not tell authors that they only need to give the publisher a "non-exclusive" licence to publish their works.

Authors do not always know their rights and often sign them over the publishers. Authors should have to publishers. Authors should have to publishers admors should have their rights and gives rights to authors. Authors see to realise what their rights are so that they do not relinquish control over their works. How ridiculous is it for an author, an expert in his/her field, to have to ask permission from a publisher to do certain acts with his/her own works, such as use them of teaching purposes, share them with colleagues in meetings or in rural ansats, most always the such as the control of their works.

institutional repeatory, and/or per-mit translations or modifications of their works.

Their works are the most and the works are the tutions and libraries around the world have objected strongly to Else-vier's new policy and to date 283 institutions and 2658 concerned librarians and academics have signed a petition against the policy. Elsevier have responded with at-tempts to show the benefits of such a policy but for all intents and pur-poses, it just entrenches their policy of restrictions and control over re-search, which institutions them-selves have produced via their aca-demic authors.

It is very important that South

selves have produced via their academic authors.

It is very important that South African research reaches a regional and global audience; after all, our research is very important, often groundbreaking and beneficial to the world at large. More important, publicly financed research should be made available freely to the publisher in the first place.

Sharing knowledge ultimately results in more research, more publications, more marketing and more funds for the publishers, so why do they have such aggressive policies towards Open Access?

Nicholson is a scholarly communications librarian at the University of the Witwatersrand.